arXiv:1402.5533v1 [math.CV] 22 Feb 2014

DEGENERACY AND FINITENESS THEOREMS FOR MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS IN SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES

SI DUC QUANG

Abstract. This article deals with the degeneracy and finiteness problem of meromorphic mappings sharing few hyperplanes in projective space. In this article, we prove that there are at most two meromorphic mappings of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ $(n \geqslant 2)$ sharing $2n+2$ hyperplanes in general position regardless of multiplicity, where all zeros with multiplicities more than certain values do not need to be counted. A degeneracy theorem for three mappings also is given. These results are improvement of previous recent results of Fujimoto, Chen-Yan, and the author.

1. Introduction

In 1926, R. Nevanlinna [3] showed that two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ cannot have the same inverse images for five distinct values, and that g is a special type of linear fractional transformation of f if they have the same inverse images counted with multiplicities for four distinct values [3]. These results are usually called the five values and the values theorems of R. Nevanlinna.

After that, many authors extended and improved the results of Nevanlinna to the case of meromorphic mappings into complex projective sapces. The extensions of the five values theorem are usually called the uniqueness theorems, and the extensions of the four values theorem are usually called the finiteness theorems. Here we formulate some recent results on this problem.

To state some of them, first of all we recall the following.

Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ and H a hyperplane in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let k be a positive integer or $k=\infty$. Denote by $\nu_{(f,H)}$ the map of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{Z}$ whose value $\nu_{(f,H)}(a)$ $(a \in \mathbf{C}^m)$ is the intersection multiplicity of the images of f and H at $f(a)$. For every $z \in \mathbf{C}^m$, we set

$$\nu_{(f,H),\leqslant k}(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \nu_{(f,H)}(z) > k, \\ \nu_{(f,H)}(z) & \text{if } \nu_{(f,H)}(z) \leqslant k, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } \nu_{(f,H),\geqslant k}(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \nu_{(f,H)}(z) < k, \\ \nu_{(f,H)}(z) & \text{if } \nu_{(f,H)}(z) \geqslant k, \end{cases}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32H30, 32A22; Secondary 30D35.
Key words and phrases: second main theorem, uniqueness problem, meromorphic mapping, truncated multiplicity.

Take a meromorphic mapping f of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ which is linearly nondegenerate over $\mathbf{C}$, a positive integer d and q hyperplanes $H_1, \ldots, H_q$ of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position with

$$\dim f^{-1}(H_i \cap H_j) \leqslant m-2 \quad (1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant q)$$

and consider the set $\mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i\}_{i=1}^q, d)$ of all linearly nondegenerate over $\mathbf{C}$ meromorphic maps $g : \mathbf{C}^m \to \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(a) $\min(\nu_{(f,H_j)}, d) = \min(\nu_{(g,H_j)}, d) \quad (1 \leqslant j \leqslant q)$,

(b) $f(z) = g(z)$ on $\bigcup_{j=1}^q f^{-1}(H_j)$.

We see that conditions a) and b) mean the sets of all intersecting points (counted with multiplicity to level d) of f and g with each hyperplane are the same, and two mappings f and g agree on these sets. If $d = 1$, we will say that f and g share q hyperplanes $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$ regardless of multiplicity.

Denote by $\sharp\, S$ the cardinality of the set S. In 1983, L. Smiley [7] proved the following uniqueness theorem.

Theorem A. *If $q = 3n+2$ then $\sharp\, \mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i\}_{i=1}^q, 1) = 1$.*

In 1998, H. Fujimoto [2] proved a finiteness theorem for meromorphic mappings as follows.

Theorem B. *If $q = 3n+1$ then $\sharp\, \mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i\}_{i=1}^q, 2) \leqslant 2$.*

In 2009, Z. Chen-Q. Yan [1] considered the case of $2n+3$ hyperplanes and proved the following uniqueness theorem.

Theorem C. *If $q = 2n+3$ then $\sharp\, \mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i\}_{i=1}^q, 1) = 1$.*

After that, in 2011 S. D. Quang [5] improved the result of Z. Chen-Q. Yan by omitting all zeros with multiplicity more than a certain number in the conditions on sharing hyperplanes of meromorphic mappings. As far as we known, there is still no uniqueness theorem for meromorphic mappings sharing less than $2n+3$ hyperplanes regardless of multiplicities. In 2011 Q. Yan-Z. Chen [8] also proved a degeneracy theorem as follows.

Theorem D. *If $q = 2n+2$ then the map $f^1 \times f^2 \times f^3$ of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^N(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^N(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^N(\mathbf{C})$ is linearly degenerate for every three maps $f^1, f^2, f^3 \in \mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i\}_{i=1}^q, 2)$.*

The first finiteness theorem for the case of meromorphic mappings sharing $2n+2$ hyperplanes regardless of multiplicities are seem given by S. D. Quang [6] in 2012 as follows.

Theorem E. *If $n \geqslant 2$ and $q = 2n+2$ then $\sharp\, \mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i\}_{i=1}^q, 1) \leqslant 2$.*

However, in the above results, we see that all intersecting point of the mappings and the hyperplanes are considered. It seems to us that the technique used in the proof of the above results do not work for the case where all intersecting point with multiplicities more than a certain number are not taken to count. Our main purpose in this paper is to improve the above result by omitting all such intersecting points. In order to states the main results, we give the following.

Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ and let $H_1, \ldots, H_q$ be q hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position. Let $k_1, \ldots, k_q$ be q positive

integers or $+\infty$. Assume that

$$\dim\{z;\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)\cdot\nu_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(z)>0\}\leqslant m-2\quad(1\leqslant i<j\leqslant q).$$

Let d be an integer. We consider the set $\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,k_i\}_{i=1}^q,d)$ of all meromorphic maps $g:\mathbf{C}^m\to\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ satisfying the conditions:

(a) $\min\,(\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i},d)=\min\,(\nu_{(g,H_i),\leqslant k_i},d)\quad(1\leqslant j\leqslant q)$,
(b) $f(z)=g(z)$ on $\bigcup_{i=1}^q\{z;\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)>0\}$.

Then we see that $\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i\}_{i=1}^q,d)=\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,\infty\}_{i=1}^q,d)$

Firstly, we will prove the following degeneracy theorem for meromorphic mappings sharing $2n+2$ hyperplanes regardless of multiplicities as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $H_1,\ldots,H_{2n+2}$ be $2n+2$ hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position and let $k_i\geqslant n$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+2)$ be positive integers or $+\infty$ with*

$$\dim\{z;\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)\cdot\nu_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(z)>0\}\leqslant m-2\quad(1\leqslant i<j\leqslant 2n+2).$$

Assume that $n\geqslant 2$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i+1-n}<\frac{3n+1}{3n^2(n+1)}+\frac{3n+1}{3n(k_0+1-n)},$$

where $k_0=\max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+2}k_i$. Then for three maps $f^1,f^2,f^3\in\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2},1)$ we have $f^1\wedge f^2\wedge f^3=0$.

When $k_1=\cdots=k_{2n+2}=k$, we have the following corollary

Corollary 1.2. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $H_1,\ldots,H_{2n+2}$ be $2n+2$ hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position and let $k\geqslant 2n^2+4n$ be positive integers or $+\infty$ with*

$$\dim\{z;\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k}(z)\cdot\nu_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k}(z)>0\}\leqslant m-2\quad(1\leqslant i<j\leqslant 2n+2).$$

If $n\geqslant 2$ then for every three maps $f^1,f^2,f^3\in\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,k\}_{i=1}^{2n+2},1)$ we have $f^1\wedge f^2\wedge f^3=0$.

The last purpose of this paper is to prove the following unicity theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $H_1,\ldots,H_{2n+2}$ be $2n+2$ hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position and let $k_i\geqslant n$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+2)$ be positive integers or $+\infty$ with*

$$\dim\{z;\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)\cdot\nu_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(z)>0\}\leqslant m-2\quad(1\leqslant i<j\leqslant 2n+2),$$

$$\text{and }\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i+1-n}<\frac{3n+1}{3n^2(n+1)}+\frac{3n+1}{3n(k_0+1-n)},$$

where $k_0=\max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+2}k_i$. We assume that

(a) *if $n\geqslant 3$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\dfrac{1}{k_i+1}<\dfrac{(n-2)(n+1)}{n(10n+1)}$,*
(b) *if $n=2$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{6}\dfrac{1}{k_i+1}<\dfrac{1}{12}$.*

Then $\sharp\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,k\}_{i=1}^{2n+2},1)\leqslant 2$.

When $k_1=\cdots=k_{2n+2}=k$, we have the following corollary

Corollary 1.4. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $H_1,\ldots,H_{2n+2}$ be $2n+2$ hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position and let $k\geqslant 2n^2+4n$ be a positive integer or $+\infty$ with*

$$\dim\{z;\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k}(z)\cdot\nu_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k}(z)>0\}\leqslant m-2\quad(1\leqslant i<j\leqslant 2n+2).$$

We assume that

(a) *if $n\geqslant 3$ then $k>\dfrac{20n^2+n+2}{n-2}$,*
(b) *if $n=2$ then $k>71$.*

Then $\sharp\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,k\}_{i=1}^{2n+2},1)\leqslant 2$.

Then we see that in the case $n\geqslant 2$, Theorems D and E are corollaries of Theorem 1.3 when $k_1=\cdots=k_{2n+2}=+\infty$.

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $n\geqslant 2$.

2. Basic notions in Nevanlinna theory

2.1. **Counting functions of divisors.** We set $||z||=\left(|z_1|^2+\cdots+|z_m|^2\right)^{1/2}$ for $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)\in\mathbf{C}^m$ and define

$$B(r):=\{z\in\mathbf{C}^m:||z||<r\},\quad S(r):=\{z\in\mathbf{C}^m:||z||=r\}\ (0<r<\infty).$$

Define

$$v_{m-1}(z):=\left(dd^c||z||^2\right)^{m-1}\qquad\text{and}$$

$$\sigma_m(z):=d^c\log||z||^2\wedge\left(dd^c\log||z||^2\right)^{m-1}\text{on}\quad\mathbf{C}^m\setminus\{0\}.$$

We mean by a divisor divisor ν on a domain Ω in $\mathbf{C}^m$ a formal sum

$$\nu=\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}a_\lambda Z_\lambda,$$

where $a_\lambda\in\mathbf{Z}$ and $\{Z_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is a locally finite family of distinct irreducible hypersurfaces of Ω. Then, we may consider the divisor ν as a function on Ω with values in $\mathbf{Z}$ as follows

$$\nu(z)=\sum_{Z_\lambda\ni z}a_\lambda.$$

The support of ν is defined by $\operatorname{Supp}\nu=\bigcup_{a_\lambda\neq 0}Z_\lambda$.

For a nonzero meromorphic function φ on a domain Ω in $\mathbf{C}^m$, we denote by ν_φ^0 (resp. ν_φ^∞) the divisor of zeros (resp. divisor of poles) of φ, and denote by $\nu_\varphi=\nu_\varphi^0-\nu_\varphi^\infty$ the divisor generated by φ.

For a divisor ν on $\mathbf{C}^m$ and for positive integers k,M (or $M=\infty$), we define the counting functions of ν as follows. Set

$$\nu^{(M)}(z)=\min\{M,\nu(z)\},$$

$$\nu^{(M)}_{\leqslant k}(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \nu(z) > k, \\ \nu^{(M)}(z) & \text{if } \nu(z) \leqslant k, \end{cases}$$

$$\nu^{(M)}_{>k}(z) = \begin{cases} \nu^{(M)}(z) & \text{if } \nu(z) > k, \\ 0 & \text{if } \nu(z) \leqslant k. \end{cases}$$

We define $n(t)$ by

$$n(t) = \begin{cases} \int\limits_{|\nu| \cap B(t)} \nu(z) v_{n-1} & \text{if } n \geqslant 2, \\ \sum\limits_{|z| \leqslant t} \nu(z) & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we define $n^{(M)}(t),\ n^{(M)}_{\leqslant k}(t),\ n^{(M)}_{>k}(t)$.

Define

$$N(r, \nu) = \int\limits_1^r \frac{n(t)}{t^{2n-1}} dt \quad (1 < r < \infty).$$

Similarly, we define $N(r, \nu^{(M)}),\ N(r, \nu^{(M)}_{\leqslant k}),\ N(r, \nu^{(M)}_{>k})$ and denote them by $N^{(M)}(r, \nu)$, $N^{(M)}_{\leqslant k}(r, \nu),\ N^{(M)}_{>k}(r, \nu)$ respectively.

Let $\varphi : \mathbf{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a meromorphic function. Define

$$N_\varphi(r) = N(r, \nu^0_\varphi),\ N^{(M)}_\varphi(r) = N^{(M)}(r, \nu^0_\varphi),$$

$$N^{(M)}_{\varphi, \leqslant k}(r) = N^{(M)}_{\leqslant k}(r, \nu^0_\varphi),\ N^{(M)}_{\varphi, >k}(r) = N^{(M)}_{>k}(r, \nu^0_\varphi).$$

For brevity we will omit the superscript $^{(M)}$ if $M = \infty$.

For a set $S \subset \mathbf{C}^m$, we define the characteristic function of S by

$$\chi_S(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \notin S. \end{cases}$$

If the closure $\bar{S}$ of S is a proper analytic subset of $\mathbf{C}^m$ then we denote by $N(r, S)$ the counting function of the reduced divisor whose support is union of all irreducible component of $\bar{S}$ with codimension one.

2.2. **Characteristic and Proximity functions.** Let $f : \mathbf{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping. For arbitrarily fixed homogeneous coordinates $(w_0 : \cdots : w_n)$ on $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, we take a reduced representation $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$, which means that each f_i is a holomorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$ and $f(z) = \big(f_0(z) : \cdots : f_n(z)\big)$ outside the analytic set $\{f_0 = \cdots = f_n = 0\}$ of codimension $\geqslant 2$. Set $\|f\| = \big(|f_0|^2 + \cdots + |f_n|^2\big)^{1/2}$.

The characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T_f(r) = \int\limits_{S(r)} \log\|f\|\sigma_m - \int\limits_{S(1)} \log\|f\|\sigma_m.$$

Let H be a hyperplane in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ given by $H = \{a_0\omega_0+\cdots+a_n\omega_n\}$, where $(a_0,\ldots,a_n) \neq (0,\ldots,0)$. We set $(f,H) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i f_i$. Then we see that the divisor $\nu_{(f,H)}$ does not depend on the reduced representation of f and presentation of H. We define the proximity function of H by

$$m_{f,H}(r) = \int_{S(r)} \log \frac{||f||\cdot||H||}{|(f,H)|}\sigma_m - \int_{S(1)} \log \frac{||f||\cdot||H||}{|(f,H)|}\sigma_m,$$

where $||H|| = (\sum_{i=0}^N |a_i|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Let φ be a nonzero meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$, which are occasionally regarded as a meromorphic mapping into $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$. The proximity function of φ is defined by

$$m(r,\varphi) := \int_{S(r)} \log\max\,(|\varphi|,1)\sigma_n.$$

As usual, by the notation "$||\ P$" we mean the assertion P holds for all $r \in [0,\infty)$ excluding a Borel subset E of the interval $[0,\infty)$ with $\int_E dr < \infty$.

2.3. **Some lemmas.** The following results play essential roles in Nevanlinna theory (see [4]).

Theorem 2.1 (The first main theorem)**.** *Let $f : \mathbf{C}^m \to \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping and H be a hyperplane in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Then*

$$N_{(f,H)}(r) + m_{f,H}(r) = T_f(r)\ (r > 1).$$

Theorem 2.2 (The second main theorem)**.** *Let $f : \mathbf{C}^m \to \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping and $H_1,\ldots,H_q$ be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Then*

$$||\ \ (q-n-1)T_f(r) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^q N^{(n)}_{(f,H_i)}(r) + o(T_f(r)).$$

Lemma 2.3 (Lemma on logaritmic derivative)**.** *Let f be a nonzero meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$. Then*

$$\left|\left|\quad m\left(r,\frac{\mathcal{D}^\alpha(f)}{f}\right) = O(\log^+ T_f(r))\ (\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^m).\right.\right.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let H be a hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, d be a positive integer and k is a positive integer or $+\infty$ with $k \geqslant d$, then*

$$N^{(d)}(r,\nu^0_{(f,H),\leqslant k}) \geq \frac{k+1}{k+1-d}N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H)}) - \frac{d}{k+1-d}T_f(r).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H),\leqslant k}) &= N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H)}) - N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H),>k}) \\ &\geqslant N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H)}) - \frac{d}{k+1}N(r,\nu^0_{(f,H),>k}) \\ &= N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H)}) - \frac{d}{k+1}(N(r,\nu_{(f,H)}) - N(r,\nu_{(f,H),\leqslant k})) \\ &\geqslant N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H)}) - \frac{d}{k+1}T_f(r) + \frac{d}{k+1}N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H),\leqslant k}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H),\leqslant k}) \geq \frac{k+1}{k+1-d}N^{(d)}(r,\nu_{(f,H)}) - \frac{d}{k+1-d}T_f(r).$$

The lemma is proved. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $H_1,\ldots,H_{2n+2}$ be $2n+2$ hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position and let $k_i \geqslant n$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n+2)$ be positive integers or $+\infty$ with*

$$\dim\{z;\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k}(z)\cdot\nu_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k}(z)>0\} \leqslant m-2 \quad (1\leqslant i<j\leqslant 2n+2).$$

Assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i} < \frac{n+1}{nk_0}+\frac{1}{n},$$

where $k_0 = \max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+2} k_i$. Then every mapping $g \in \mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2},1)$ is linearly nondegenerate.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a hyperplane H satisfying $g(\mathbf{C}^m)\subset H$. We assume that f and g have reduce representations $f=(f_0:\cdots:f_n)$ and $g=(g_0:\cdots:g_n)$ respectively. Assume that $H=\{(\omega_0:\cdots:\omega_n) \mid \sum_{i=0}^n a_i\omega_i=0\}$. Since f is linearly nondegenerate, $(f.H)\not\equiv 0$. On the other hand $(f,H)(z)=(g,H)(z)=0$ for all $z\in\bigcup_{i=1}^{2n+2}\{\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}\}$, hence

$$N_{(f,H)}(r) \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r).$$

It yields that

$$\begin{aligned}|| \; T_f(r) \geqslant N_{(f,H)}(r) &\geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} \big(\frac{k_i+1}{k_i} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i)}(r) - \frac{1}{k_i} T_f(r)\big) \\ &\geqslant (1+\frac{1}{k_0})\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} N^{(n)}_{(f,H_i)}(r) - \sum_{i=1}^{q}\frac{1}{k_i} T_f(r) \\ &\geqslant \left((1+\frac{1}{k_0})\frac{n+1}{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i}\right) T_f(r) + o(T_f(r)).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \longrightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i} \geqslant \frac{n+1}{nk_0} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $g(\mathbf{C}^m)$ can not be contained in any hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Therefore g is linearly nondegenerate. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_{2n+2}$ be $2n+2$ hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position and let $k_i \geqslant n$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n+2)$ be positive integers or $+\infty$ with*

$$\dim\{z; \nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k}(z)\cdot\nu_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k}(z) > 0\} \leqslant m-2 \quad (1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2n+2).$$

Assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i} < \frac{n+1}{nk_0} + \frac{1}{n},$$

where $k_0 = \max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+2} k_i$. Then for every mapping $g \in \mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i, k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}, 1)$, we have

$$|| \; T_g(r) = O(T_f(r)) \text{ and } || \; T_f(r) = O(T_g(r)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we have g is linearly nondegenerate. Then by the Second Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|| \quad (n+1)T_g(r) &\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} N^{(n)}_{(g,H_i)}(r) + o(T_g(r)) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} n\; N^{(1)}_{(g,H_i)}(r) + o(T_g(r)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} n\big(\frac{k_i}{k_i+1} N^{(1)}_{(g,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \frac{1}{k_i+1} T_g(r)\big) + o(T_g(r)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} n\big(\frac{k_i}{k_i+1} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \frac{1}{k_i+1} T_g(r)\big) + o(T_g(r)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} n\big(T_f(r) + \frac{1}{k_i+1} T_g(r)\big) + o(T_f(r)+T_g(r)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\big(n+1-\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{n}{k_i+1}\big)T_g(r)\leqslant n(2n+2)T_f(r)+o(T_f(r)+T_g(r)).$$

We note that

$$n+1-\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{n}{k_i+1}\geqslant n+1-\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{n}{k_i}>n+1-\frac{n+1}{k_0}-1\geqslant\frac{n+1}{2}-1>0.$$

Hence $||T_g(r)=O(T_f(r))$. Similarly, we get $||T_f(r)=O(T_g(r))$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From the assumption, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i+1-n}&<\frac{3n+1}{3n^2(n+1)}+\frac{3n+1}{3n(k_0+1-n)}\\&<\frac{3n+1}{3n^2(n+1)}+\frac{3n+1}{(2n+2)3n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i+1-n}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i+1-n}<\frac{6n+2}{6n^2+9n+1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i}\leqslant\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i+1-n}<\frac{6n+2}{6n^2+9n+1}<\frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have that f^s is linearly nondegenerate and $||T_{f^s}(r)=O(T_f(r))$ and $||T_f(r)=O(T_{f^s}(r))$ for all $s=1,2,3$.

Suppose that $f^1\wedge f^2\wedge f^3\not\equiv 0$. For each $1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+2$, we set

$$N_i(r)=\sum_{u=1}^{3}N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r)-(2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of all permutations of the $(2n+2)-$tuple $(1,\ldots,2n+2)$, that means

$$\mathcal{I}=\{I=(i_1,\ldots,i_{2n+2})\ :\ \{i_1,\ldots,i_{2n+2}\}=\{1,\ldots,2n+2\}\}.$$

For each $I=(i_1,\ldots,i_{2n+2})\in\mathcal{I}$ we define a subset E_I of $[1,+\infty)$ as follows

$$E_I=\{r\geqslant 1\ :\ N_{i_1}(r)\geqslant\cdots\geqslant N_{i_{2n+2}}(r)\}.$$

It is clear that $\bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{I}}E_I=[1,+\infty)$. Therefore, there exists an element of $\mathcal{I}$, for instance it is $I_0=(1,2,\ldots,2n+2)$, satisfying

$$\int_{E_{i_0}}dr=+\infty.$$

Then, we have $N_1(r)\geqslant N_2(r)\geqslant\cdots\geqslant N_{2n+2}(r)$ for all $r\in E_{i_0}$.

We consider $\mathcal{M}^3$ as a vector space over the field $\mathcal{M}$. For each $i=1,\ldots,2n+2$, we set

$$V_i=\big((f^1,H_i),(f^2,H_i),(f^3,H_i)\big)\in\mathcal{M}^3.$$

We put

$$t = \min\{i \ : \ V_1 \wedge V_i \not\equiv 0\}.$$

Since $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge f^3 \not\equiv 0$, we have $1 < t < n+1$. Also by again $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge f^3 \not\equiv 0$, there exists an index $s \notin \{1, t\}$ such that $V_1 \wedge V_t \wedge V_s \not\equiv 0$. This means that

$$P := \det(V_1, V_t, V_s) = \det \begin{pmatrix} (f^1, H_1) & (f^1, H_t) & (f^1, H_s) \\ (f^2, H_1) & (f^2, H_t) & (f^2, H_s) \\ (f^3, H_1) & (f^3, H_t) & (f^3, H_s) \end{pmatrix} \not\equiv 0.$$

Denote by $S$the closure of $\bigcup_{1\leqslant u\leqslant 3} I(f^u) \cup \bigcup_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant 2n+2} \{z; \nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) \cdot \nu_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(z) > 0\}$. Then S is an analytic subset of codimension two of $\mathbf{C}^m$.

For $z \notin S$, we consider the following three cases:

Case 1. z is a zero of (f, H_1) with multiplicity at most k_1. We set

$$m = \min\{\nu_{(f^1,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(z), \nu_{(f^2,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(z), \nu_{(f^3,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(z)\}.$$

Then there exist a neighborhood U of z and holomorphic function h defined on U such that $\mathrm{Zero}(h) = U \cap \mathrm{Zero}(f, H_1)$ and dh has no zero. Then the functions $\varphi_u = \frac{(f^u,H_1)}{h^m}$ $(1 \leqslant u \leqslant 3)$ are holomorphic in a neighborhood of z. On the other hand, since $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ on $\mathrm{Zero}(f, H_1)$, we have

$$\frac{(f^u, H_t)}{(f_1, H_t)} = \frac{(f^u, H_s)}{(f_1, H_s)} \text{ on } \mathrm{Zero}(f, H_1), \ u = 2, 3.$$

Therefore, the functions $\psi_u = (\frac{(f^u,H_t)}{(f_1,H_t)} - \frac{(f^u,H_s)}{(f_1,H_s)})/h$ $(u = 2, 3)$ are also holomorphic in a neighborhood of z.

We may assume that φ_u $(1 \leqslant u \leqslant 3), \psi_u$ $(u = 2, 3)$ are holomorphic on U. We rewrite P on U as follows

$$\begin{aligned} P =& h^m \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & (f_1, H_t) & (f_1, H_s) \\ \varphi_2 & (f_2, H_t) & (f_2, H_s) \\ \varphi_3 & (f_3, H_t) & (f_3, H_s) \end{pmatrix} \\ =& h^m (f_1, H_t)(f_1, H_s) \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & 1 & 1 \\ \varphi_2 & \dfrac{(f_2, H_t)}{(f_1, H_t)} & \dfrac{(f_2, H_s)}{(f_1, H_s)} \\ \varphi_3 & \dfrac{(f_3, H_t)}{(f_1, H_t)} & \dfrac{(f_3, H_s)}{(f_1, H_s)} \end{pmatrix} \\ =& - h^{m+1} (f_1, H_t)(f_1, H_s) \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & 1 & 0 \\ \varphi_2 & \dfrac{(f_2, H_t)}{(f_1, H_t)} & \psi_2 \\ \varphi_3 & \dfrac{(f_3, H_t)}{(f_1, H_t)} & \psi_3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\nu_P(z) \geqslant m + 1 = \min\{\nu_{(f^1,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(z), \nu_{(f^2,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(z), \nu_{(f^3,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(z)\} + 1.$$

Case 2. z is a zero of (f, H_t) with multiplicity at most k_t. Repeating the same argument as in Case 1, we have

$$\nu_P(z) \geqslant \min\{\nu_{(f^1,H_t),\leqslant k_1}(z), \nu_{(f^2,H_t),\leqslant k_1}(z), \nu_{(f^3,H_t),\leqslant k_1}(z)\} + 1.$$

Case 3. z is a zero point of (f, H_v) with multiplicity at most k_v, where $v \notin \{1, t\}$. There exist an index l such that $(f^1, H_l)(z) \neq 0$. Since $f^1(z) = f^2(z) = f^3(z)$, we have $(f^u, H_l)(z) \neq 0$ $(1 \leqslant u \leqslant 3)$ and

$$P = \det \begin{pmatrix} (f_1,H_1) & (f_1,H_t) & (f_1,H_s) \\ (f_2,H_1) & (f_2,H_t) & (f_2,H_s) \\ (f_3,H_1) & (f_3,H_t) & (f_3,H_s) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_{u=1}^{3}(f^u, H_l) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(f^1,H_1)}{(f^1,H_l)} & \frac{(f^1,H_t)}{(f^1,H_l)} & \frac{(f^1,H_s)}{(f^1,H_l)} \\ \frac{(f^2,H_1)}{(f^2,H_l)} & \frac{(f^2,H_t)}{(f^2,H_l)} & \frac{(f^2,H_s)}{(f^2,H_l)} \\ \frac{(f^3,H_1)}{(f^3,H_l)} & \frac{(f^3,H_t)}{(f^3,H_l)} & \frac{(f^3,H_s)}{(f_3,H_l)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_{u=1}^{3}(f^u, H_l) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(f^1,H_1)}{(f^1,H_l)} & \frac{(f^1,H_t)}{(f^1,H_l)} & \frac{(f^1,H_s)}{(f^1,H_l)} \\ \frac{(f^2,H_1)}{(f^2,H_l)} - \frac{(f^1,H_1)}{(f^1,H_l)} & \frac{(f^2,H_t)}{(f^2,H_l)} - \frac{(f^1,H_t)}{(f^1,H_l)} & \frac{(f^2,H_s)}{(f^2,H_l)} - \frac{(f^1,H_s)}{(f^1,H_l)} \\ \frac{(f^3,H_1)}{(f^3,H_l)} - \frac{(f^1,H_1)}{(f^1,H_l)} & \frac{(f^3,H_t)}{(f^3,H_l)} - \frac{(f^1,H_t)}{(f^1,H_l)} & \frac{(f^3,H_s)}{(f^3,H_l)} - \frac{(f^1,H_s)}{(f^1,H_l)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

vanishes at z with multiplicity at least two.

Thus, from the above three cases we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_P(z) &\geqslant \sum_{v=1,t} (\min\{\nu_{(f_1,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z), \nu_{(f_2,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z), \nu_{(f_3,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z)\} + \nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z)) \\ &\quad + 2\sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v\neq 1,t}}^{2n+2} \nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) \\ &= \sum_{v=1,t} (\min\{\nu_{(f_1,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z), \nu_{(f_2,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z), \nu_{(f_3,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z)\} - \nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z)) \\ &\quad + 2\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2} \nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z), \end{aligned}$$

for all z outside the analytic set S.

Since $\min\{a, b, c\} \geqslant \min\{a, n\} + \min\{b, n\} + \min\{c, n\} - 2n$ for every integers a, b, c, the above inequality implies that

$$\nu_P(z) \geq \sum_{v=1,t} \left(\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) - (2n+1)\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_v)}(z) \right) + 2\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2} \nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z),$$

for all z outside an analytic subset of co-dimension two.

Integrating both sides of the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} N_P(z) &\geq \sum_{v=1,t}\left(\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) - (2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_v)}(z)\right)+2\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) \\ &= \sum_{v=1,t} N_v(r) + 2\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Then for all $r \in E_{i_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\ N_P(r) &\geqslant \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2} N_v(r) + 2\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z). \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\left(\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) - (2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_v)}(z)\right)+2\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) + \frac{1}{3(n+1)}\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) \\ &\geqslant (1+\frac{1}{3n})\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(z) \\ &\geqslant (1+\frac{1}{3n})\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\left(\frac{k_v+1}{k_v+1-n}N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_v)}(z) - \frac{n}{k_v+1-n}T_{f^u}(r)\right) \\ &\geqslant (1+\frac{1}{3n})\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\left(\frac{k_0+1}{k_0+1-n}N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_v)}(z) - \frac{n}{k_v+1-n}T_{f^u}(r)\right) \\ &\geqslant (1+\frac{1}{3n})\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\left(\frac{(k_0+1)(n+1)}{k_0+1-n} - \sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\frac{n}{k_v+1-n}\right)T_{f^u}(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &= \frac{3n+1}{3n(n+1)}\left(\frac{(k_0+1)(n+1)}{k_0+1-n} - \sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\frac{n}{k_v+1-n}\right)T(r) + o(T(r)), \end{aligned}$$

where $T(r) = \sum_{u=1}^{3} T_{f^u}(r)$.

On the other hand, by Jensen's formula and the definition of the characteristic function we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_P(r) &= \int_{S(r)} \log|P|\sigma_m + O(1) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3}\int_{S(r)} \log(|(f^u,H_1)|^2 + |(f^u,H_t)|^2 + |(f^u,H_s)|)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_m + O(1) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3}\int_{S(r)} \log||f^u||\sigma_m + O(1) = T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$T(r) \geqslant \frac{3n+1}{3n(n+1)}\left(\frac{(k_0+1)(n+1)}{k_0+1-n} - \sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\frac{n}{k_v+1-n}\right)T(r) + o(T(r))$$

for every $z \in E_{i_0}$ outside a Borel finite measure set.

Letting $r \to +\infty$ ($r \in E_{i_0}$) we get

$$1 \geqslant \frac{3n+1}{3n(n+1)}\left(\frac{(k_0+1)(n+1)}{k_0+1-n} - \sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\frac{n}{k_v+1-n}\right).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{v=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_v+1-n} \geqslant \frac{3n+1}{3n^2(n+1)} + \frac{3n+1}{3n(k_0+1-n)}.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge f^3 \equiv 0$. The theorem is proved. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Now for three mappings $f^1, f^2, f^3 \in \mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i, k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}, 1)$, we define

$$F_k^{ij} = \frac{(f^k, H_i)}{(f^k, H_j)}\ (0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2,\ 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2n+2),$$

$$V_i = ((f^1, H_i), (f^2, H_i), (f^3, H_i)) \in \mathcal{M}_m^3,$$

$$T_i = \{z; \nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) > 0\}, S_i = \bigcup_{u=1}^{3}\{z; \nu_{(f_u,H_i),>k_i}(z) > 0\}$$

$$R_i = \bigcap_{u=1}^{3}\{z; \nu_{(f_u,H_i),>k_i}(z) > 0\}$$

$$\nu_i = \{z; k_i \geqslant \nu_{(f^u,H_i)}(z) \geqslant \nu_{(f^v,H_i)}(z) = \nu_{(f^t,H_i)}(z) \text{ for a permutation } (u,v,t) \text{ of } (1,2,3)\}.$$

We write $V_i \cong V_j$ if $V_i \wedge V_j \equiv 0$, otherwise we write $V_i \ncong V_j$. For $V_i \ncong V_j$, we wirte $V_i \sim V_j$ if there exist $1 \leqslant u < v \leqslant 3$ such that $F_u^{ij} = F_v^{ij}$, otherwise we write $V_i \not\sim V_j$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let h, g be two elements of $\mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i, k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}, 1)$, where $k_i \geqslant n$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n+2$) are positive integers or $+\infty$ with*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i} < \frac{n+1}{nk_0} + \frac{1}{n} \text{ and } \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1} < \frac{n-1}{2n},$$

where $k_0 = \max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 2n+2} k_i$. If there exist a constant λ and two indices i, j such that $\dfrac{(h,H_i)}{(h,H_j)} = \lambda\dfrac{(g,H_i)}{(g,H_j)}$ then $\lambda = 1$.

Proof. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we see that h and g are linearly nondegenerate and have the characteristic functions of the same order with the characteristic function of f. Setting $H = \dfrac{(h, H_{i_t})}{(h, H_j)}$ and $G = \dfrac{(g, H_{i_t})}{(g, H_j)}$ and

$$S'_t = \{z; \nu_{(h,H_t),>k_t}(z) > 0\} \cup \{z; \nu_{(g,H_t),>k_t}(z) > 0\}.$$

Then $H = \lambda G$. Supposing that $\lambda \neq 1$, since $H = G$ on the set $\bigcup_{t\neq i,j} T_t \setminus (S'_i \cup S'_j)$, we have $\bigcup_{t\neq i,j} T_t \subset S'_i \cup S'_j$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \sum_{t\neq i,j} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) - (N(r, S'_i) + N(r, S'_j)) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t\neq i,j}(N^{(1)}_{(h,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) + (N^{(1)}_{(g,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)) - (N(r, S'_i) + N(r, S'_j)) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t\neq i,j}(N^{(1)}_{(h,H_t)}(r) + N^{(1)}_{(g,H_t)}(r)) - \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N(r, S'_t) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{t\neq i,j}(N^{(n)}_{(h,H_t)}(r) + N^{(n)}_{(g,H_t)}(r)) - \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}(N^{(1)}_{(h,H_t),>k_t}(r) + N^{(1)}_{(g,H_t),>k_t}(r)) \\
\geq & \frac{n-1}{2n}(T_h(r) + T_g(r)) - \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1}(T_h(r) + T_g(r)) + o(T_f(r)).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \longrightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\frac{n-1}{2n} \leqslant \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1}.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore $\lambda = 1$. The lemma is proved □

Lemma 4.2. *Let f^1, f^2, f^3 be three elements of $\mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i, k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}, 1)$, where $k_i \geqslant n$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n+2)$ are positive integers or $+\infty$. Suppose that $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge f^3 \equiv 0$ and $V_i \sim V_j$ for some distinct indices i and j. Then f^1, f^2, f^2 are not distinct.*

Proof. Suppose f^1, f^2, f^2 are distinct. Since $V_i \sim V_j$, we may suppose that $F_1^{ij} = F_2^{ij} \neq F_3 ij$. Since $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge f^3 \equiv 0$ and $f^1 \neq f^2$, there exists a meromorphic function α such that

$$F_3^{tj} = \alpha F_1^{tj} + (1-\alpha)F_2^{tj} \ (1 \leqslant t \leqslant 2n+2).$$

This implies that $F_3^{ij} = F_1^{ij} = F_2^{ij}$. This is a contradiction. Hence f^1, f^2, f^3 are not distinct. The lemma is proved □

For meromorphic functions F, G, H on $\mathbf{C}^m$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^m$, we put

$$\Phi^\alpha(F, G, H) := F \cdot G \cdot H \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{F} & \frac{1}{G} & \frac{1}{H} \\ \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\frac{1}{F}) & \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\frac{1}{G}) & \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\frac{1}{H}) \end{vmatrix}$$

Lemma 4.3 ([2, Proposition 3.4]). *If $\Phi^\alpha(F,G,H)=0$ and $\Phi^\alpha(\frac{1}{F},\frac{1}{G},\frac{1}{H})=0$ for all α with $|\alpha|\le 1$, then one of the following assertions holds :*

(i) $F=G, G=H$ or $H=F$

(ii) $\frac{F}{G},\frac{G}{H}$ and $\frac{H}{F}$ are all constant.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f,\{H_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2},\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$ be as in Theorem 1.3. Let f^1,f^2,f^3 be three maps in $\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2},1)$. Suppose that f^1,f^2,f^3 are distinct and there are two indices $i,j\in\{1,2,\dots,2n+2\}$ $(i\ne j)$ such that $V_i\not\cong V_j$ and*

$$\Phi^\alpha_{ij}:=\Phi^\alpha(F_1^{ij},F_2^{ij},F_3^{ij})\equiv 0$$

for every $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m)\in\mathbf{Z}_+^m$ with $|\alpha|=1$. Then for every $t\in\{1,\dots,2n+2\}\setminus\{i\}$, the following assertion hold:

(i) $\Phi^\alpha_{it}\equiv 0$ *for all* $|\alpha|\leqslant 1$,

(ii) *if $V_i\not\cong V_t$ then $F_1^{ti},F_2^{ti},F_3^{ti}$ are distinct and*

$$\begin{aligned}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r)&\geqslant\sum_{s\ne i,t}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_s),\leqslant k_s}(r)-N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)-2(N(r,S_i)+N(r,S_t))\\&\geqslant\sum_{s\ne i,t}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_s),\leqslant k_s}(r)-N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)-2\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{s=i,t}N_{(f^u,H_s),\leqslant k_s}(r).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the supposition $V_i\not\cong V_j$, we may assume that $F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji}\ne 0$.

(a) For all $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}_+^m$ with $|\alpha|=1$, we have $\Phi^\alpha_{ij}=0$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^\alpha\left(\frac{F_3^{ji}-F_1^{ji}}{F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji}}\right)=&\frac{1}{(F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji})^2}\cdot\Big((F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji})\cdot\mathcal{D}^\alpha(F_3^{ji}-F_1^{ji})\\&\qquad-(F_3^{ji}-F_1^{ji})\cdot\mathcal{D}^\alpha(F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji})\Big)\\=&\frac{1}{(F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji})^2}\cdot\begin{vmatrix}1&1&1\\F_1^{ji}&F_2^{ji}&F_3^{ji}\\\mathcal{D}^\alpha(F_1^{ji})&\mathcal{D}^\alpha(F_2^{ji})&\mathcal{D}^\alpha(F_3^{ji})\end{vmatrix}=0.\end{aligned}$$

Since the above equality hold for all $|\alpha|=1$, then there exists a constant $c\in\mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\frac{F_3^{ji}-F_1^{ji}}{F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji}}=c$$

By Theorem 1.1, we have $f^1\wedge f^2\wedge f^3=0$. Then for each index $t\in\{1,\dots,2n+2\}\setminus\{i,j\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}0=\det\begin{pmatrix}(f_1,H_i)&(f_1,H_j)&(f_1,H_t)\\(f_2,H_i)&(f_2,H_j)&(f_2,H_t)\\(f_3,H_i)&(f_3,H_j)&(f_3,H_t)\end{pmatrix}&=\prod_{u=1}^{3}(f^u,H_i)\cdot\det\begin{pmatrix}1&F_1^{ji}&F_1^{ti}\\1&F_2^{ji}&F_2^{ti}\\1&F_3^{ji}&F_3^{ti}\end{pmatrix}\\&=\prod_{u=1}^{3}(f^u,H_i)\cdot\det\begin{pmatrix}F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji}&F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti}\\F_3^{ji}-F_1^{ji}&F_3^{ti}-F_1^{ti}\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji})\cdot(F_3^{ti}-F_1^{ti})=(F_3^{ji}-F_1^{ji})\cdot(F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti}).$$

If $F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti}=0$ then $F_3^{ti}-F_1^{ti}=0$, and hence $\Phi_{it}^{\alpha}=0$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}_+^m$ with $|\alpha|<1$. Otherwise, we have

$$\frac{F_3^{ti}-F_1^{ti}}{F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti}}=\frac{F_3^{ji}-F_1^{ji}}{F_2^{ji}-F_1^{ji}}=c.$$

This also implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{it}^{\alpha}&=F_1^{it}\cdot F_2^{it}\cdot F_3^{it}\cdot\begin{vmatrix}1&1&1\\F_1^{ti}&F_2^{ti}&F_3^{ti}\\\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_1^{ti})&\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ti})&\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_3^{ti})\end{vmatrix}\\&=F_1^{it}\cdot F_2^{it}\cdot F_3^{it}\cdot\begin{vmatrix}F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti}&F_3^{ti}-F_1^{ti}\\\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti})&\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_3^{ti}-F_1^{ti})\end{vmatrix}\\&=F_1^{it}\cdot F_2^{it}\cdot F_3^{it}\cdot\begin{vmatrix}F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti}&c(F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti})\\\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti})&c\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ti}-F_1^{ti})\end{vmatrix}=0.\end{aligned}$$

Then one always has $\Phi_{it}^{\alpha}=0$ for all $t\in\{1,\ldots,2n+2\}\setminus\{i\}$. The first assertion is proved.

(b) We suppose that $V_i\ncong V_t$. From the above part, we have

$$cF_2^{si}+(1-c)F_1^{si}=F_3^{si}\ (s\neq i).$$

By the supposition f^1,f^2,f^3 are distinct, we have $c\notin\{0,1\}$. This implies that $F_1^{ti},F_2^{ti},F_3^{ti}$ are distinct.

We see that the second inequality is clear, then we prove the remain first inequality. We consider the meromorphic mapping F^t of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ with a reduced representation

$$F^t=(F_1^{ti}h_t:F_2^{ti}h_t),$$

where h_t is a meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}T_{F^t}(r)=&T\left(r,\frac{F_1^{ti}}{F_2^{ti}}\right)\leqslant T(r,F_1^{ti})+T\left(r,\frac{1}{F_2^{ti}}\right)+O(1)\\&\leqslant T(r,F_1^{ti})+T(r,F_2^{ti})+O(1)\leqslant T_{f^1}(r)+T_{f^2}(r)+O(1)=O(T_f(r)).\end{aligned}$$

For a point $z\notin I(F^t)\cup S_i\cup S_t$ which is a zero of some functions $F_u^{ti}h_t$ $(1\leqslant u\leqslant 3)$, then z must be either zero of (f,H_i) with multiplicity at most k_i or zero of (f,H_t) with multiplicity at most k_t, and hence

$$\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{(1)}_{F_u^{ti}h_t}(z)=1\leqslant\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)+\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(z).$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{(1)}_{F_u^{ti}h_t}(z)\leqslant\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)+\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(z)+\chi_{S_i}(z)+\chi_{S_t}(z)$$

outside an analytic subset of codimension two. By integrating both sides of this inequality, we get

$$\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(1)}_{F^{ti}_u h_t}(r) \leqslant N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) + N(r,S_i) + N(r,S_t). \tag{4.5}$$

By the second main theorem, we also have

$$||\ T_{F^t}(r) \leqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(1)}_{F^{ti}_u h_t}(r) + o(T(r)). \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, applying the first main theorem to the map F^t and the hyperplane $\{w_0 - w_1 = 0\}$ in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$, we have

$$T_{F^t}(r) \geqslant N_{(F^{ti}_1 - F^{ti}_2)h_t}(r) \geqslant \sum_{\substack{v=1\\ v\neq i,t}}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(r) - N(r,S_i) - N(r,S_t). \tag{4.7}$$

Therefore, from (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) we have

$$||\ N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) \geqslant \sum_{\substack{v=1\\ v\neq i,t}}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_v),\leqslant k_v}(r) - N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) - 2(N(r,S_i) + N(r,S_t)) + o(T(r)).$$

The second assertion of the lemma is proved. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let f^1, f^2, f^3 be three maps in $\mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i, k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}, 1)$ as in Theorem 1.3. Assume that there exist $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n+2\}$ $(i \neq j)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^m_+$ with $|\alpha| = 1$ such that $\Phi^\alpha_{ij} \not\equiv 0$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r) \geqslant{}& \sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^k,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(r) + 2\sum_{\substack{t=1\\ t\neq i,j}}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)\\
&- (2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - (n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(r) + N(r,\nu_j)\\
&- N(r,S_i) - N(r,S_j) - (2n-2)N(r,R_i) - (n-1)N(r,R_j) + o(T(r))\\
\geqslant{}& \sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^k,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(r) + 2\sum_{\substack{t=1\\ t\neq i,j}}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)\\
&- (2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - (n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(r) + N(r,\nu_j)\\
&- \sum_{u=1}^{3}\Big((1+\frac{n-1}{3})N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_j),>k_j} - (1+\frac{2n-2}{3})N_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i}\Big) + o(T(r)).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second inequality is clear. We remain prove the first inequality. We have

$$\Phi^{\alpha} = F_1^{ij}\cdot F_2^{ij}\cdot F_3^{ij}\cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ F_1^{ji} & F_2^{ji} & F_3^{ji} \\ \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_1^{ji}) & \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ji}) & \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_3^{ji}) \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{vmatrix} F_1^{ij} & F_2^{ij} & F_3^{ij} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ F_1^{ij}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ji}) & F_2^{ij}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(f^{ji}) & F_3^{ij}\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(g^{ji}) \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha} = {} & F_1^{ij}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_3^{ji})}{F_3^{ji}} - \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ji})}{F_2^{ji}}\right) + F_2^{ij}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_1^{ji})}{F_1^{ji}} - \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_3^{ji})}{F_3^{ji}}\right) \\ & + F_3^{ij}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ji})}{F_2^{ji}} - \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_1^{ji})}{F_1^{ji}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

By the Logarithmic Derivative Lemma, it follows that

$$m(r,\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha}) \leqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3} m(r,F_u^{ij}) + 2\sum_{u=1}^{3} m\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_u^{ji})}{F_v^{ji}}\right) + O(1) \leqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3} m(r,F_u^{ij}) + o(T_f(r)).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r) &\geqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3} T(r,F_u^{ij}) = \sum_{u=1}^{3}(m(r,F_u^{ij}) + N_{\frac{1}{F_u^{ij}}}(r)) = m(r,\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha}) + \sum_{u=1}^{3} N_{\frac{1}{F_u^{ij}}}(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\geqslant T(r,\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha}) - N_{\frac{1}{\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha}}} + \sum_{u=1}^{3} N_{\frac{1}{F_u^{ij}}}(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\geqslant N_{\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha}}(r) - N_{\frac{1}{\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha}}} + \sum_{u=1}^{3} N_{\frac{1}{F_u^{ij}}}(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &= N(r,\nu_{\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha}}) + \sum_{u=1}^{3} N_{\frac{1}{F_u^{ij}}}(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, in order to prove the lemma, it is sufficient for us to prove

$$\begin{aligned} N(r,\nu_{\Phi_{ij}^{\alpha}}) \geqslant {} & \sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^k,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(r) + 2\sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ t\neq i,j}}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) \\ & - (2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - (n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(r) - \sum_{u=1}^{3} N_{\frac{1}{F_u^{ij}}}(r) + N(r,\nu_j) \\ & - N(r,S_i) - N(r,S_j) - (2n-2)N(r,R_i) - (n-1)N(r,R_j) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Denote by S the set of all singularities of $f^{-1}(H_t)$ $(1 \leqslant t \leqslant q)$. Then S is an analytic subset of codimension at least two in $\mathbf{C}^m$. We set

$$I = S \cup \bigcup_{s\neq t}\{z;\nu_{(f,H_s),\leqslant k_s}(z)\cdot\nu_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(z) > 0\}.$$

Then I is also an analytic subset of codimension at least two in $\mathbf{C}^m$.

In order to prove the inequality (4.10), it is sufficient for us to show that the following inequality

$$P :\overset{Def}{=} \sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}+\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{(n)}_{(f^k,H_j),\leqslant k_j}+2\sum_{\substack{t=1\\ t\neq i,j}}^{2n+2}\chi_{T_t}-(2n+1)\chi_{T_i}-(n+1)\chi_{T_j}$$
$$-\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_u}+\chi_{\nu_j}-\chi_{S_i}-\chi_{S_j}-2(n-1)\chi_{R_i}-(n-1)\chi_{R_j}\leqslant\nu_{\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}}. \tag{4.11}$$

hold outside the set I.

For $z\notin I$, we distinguish the following cases

Case 1: $z\in T_t\setminus S_i\cup S_j$ $(t\neq i,j)$. We see that $P(z)=2$. We write Φ^{α}_{ij} in the form

$$\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}=F^{ij}_1\cdot F^{ij}_2\cdot F^{ij}_3\times\begin{vmatrix}\big(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_2\big) & \big(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_3\big)\\ \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}\big(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_2\big) & \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}\big(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_3\big)\end{vmatrix}.$$

Then by the assumption that f^1,f^2,f^3 are identify on T_t, we have $F^{ji}_1=F^{ji}_2=F^{ji}_3$ on $T_t\setminus S_i$. The property of the wronskian implies that $\nu_{\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}}(z)\geqslant 2=P(z)$.

Case 2: $z\in T_t\cap(S_i\cup S_j)$ $(t\neq i,j)$. We see that $P(z)\leqslant-\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_u}(z)-1$.

From (4.9) we see that

$$\nu_{\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}}(z)\geqslant\min\big\{\nu_{F^{ij}_1}(z)-1,\nu_{F^{ij}_2}(z)-1,\nu_{F^{ij}_3}(z)-1\big\}\geqslant P(z).$$

Case 3: $z\in T_i\setminus S_j$. We have

$$P(z)=\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)-(2n+1)\leqslant\min_{1\leqslant u\leqslant 3}\{\nu^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)\}-1.$$

We may assume that $\nu_{(f^1,H_i)}(z)\leqslant\nu_{(f^2,H_i)}(z)\leqslant\nu_{(f^3,H_i)}(z)$. We write

$$\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}=F^{ij}_1\bigg[F^{ij}_2(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_2)F^{ij}_3\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_3)-F^{ij}_3(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_2)F^{ij}_2\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_2)\bigg]$$

It is easy to see that $F^{ij}_2(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_2)$ and $F^{ij}_3(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_3)$ are holomorphic on a neighborhood of z and

$$\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_3\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_3)}(z)\leqslant 1$$

and

$$\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_2\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F^{ji}_1-F^{ji}_2)}(z)\leqslant 1.$$

Therefore, it implies that

$$\nu_{\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}}(z)\geqslant\nu^{(n)}_{(f^1,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)-1\geqslant P(z).$$

Case 4: $z \in T_i \cap S_j$. The assumption that f^1, f^2, f^3 are identity on T_i yields that $z \in R_j$. We have

$$P(z) \leqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) - \sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{\infty}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) - (2n+1) - n \leqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{\infty}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) - 1.$$

We have

$$\nu_{\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}}(z) \geqslant \min\{\nu_{F_1^{ij}}(z) - 1, \nu_{F_2^{ij}}(z) - 1, \nu_{F_3^{ij}}(z) - 1\} \geqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{\infty}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) - 1 \geqslant P(z).$$

Case 5: $z \in T_j \setminus S_i$. Put We may assume that

$$\nu_{F_1^{ji}}(z) = d_1 \geqslant \nu_{F_2^{ji}}(z) = d_2 \geqslant \nu_{F_3^{ji}}(z) = d_3.$$

Choose a holomorphic function h on $\mathbf{C}^m$ with multiplicity 1 at z such that $F_u^{ji} = h^{d_u}\varphi_u$ $(1 \leqslant u \leqslant 3)$, where φ_u are meromorphic on $\mathbf{C}^m$ and holomorphic on a neighborhood of z. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij} &= F_1^{ij} \cdot F_2^{ij} \cdot F_3^{ij} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} F_2^{ji} - F_1^{ji} & F_3^{ji} - F_1^{ji} \\ \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_2^{ji} - F_1^{ji}) & \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(F_3^{ji} - F_1^{ji}) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= F_1^{ij} \cdot F_2^{ij} \cdot F_3^{ij} \cdot h^{d_2+d_3} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_2 - h^{d_1-d_2}\varphi_1 & \varphi_3 - h^{d_1-d_3}\varphi_1 \\ \dfrac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(h^{d_2-d_3}\varphi_2 - h^{d_1-d_3}\varphi_1)}{h^{d_2-d_3}} & \mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(\varphi_3 - h^{d_1-d_3}\varphi_1) \end{vmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\nu_{\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}}(z) \geqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu_{F_u^{ij}}(z) + d_2 + d_3 - \max\{0, \min\{1, d_2 - d_3\}\}.$$

If $z \notin S_i$ then

$$P(z) = -\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{\infty}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) + \sum_{u=1}^{3} \min\{n, d_u\} - (n+1) + \chi_{\nu_j},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{\Phi^{\alpha}_{ij}}(z) &\geqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{\infty}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) + \sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{0}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) + d_2 + d_3 - 1 + \chi_{\nu_j} \\ &\geqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{\infty}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) + d_2 + d_3 - 1 + \chi_{\nu_j} \geqslant P(z).\end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if $z \in S_i$ then $z \in R_i$, and hence

$$P(z) \leqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_j),\leqslant k_j} - \sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{\infty}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) - 3n - 1 + \chi_{\nu_j} \leqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{\infty}_{F_u^{ij}}(z) - 3n,$$

$$\text{and}\quad \nu_{\Phi^\alpha_{ij}}(z) \geqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_u}(z)+\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{0}_{F^{ij}_u}(z)+d_2+d_3-1$$
$$\geqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_u}(z)+\max\{0,-d_1\}+\max\{d_2,0\}+\max\{d_3,0\}-1\geqslant P(z).$$

Case 6: $z\in(S_i\cup S_j)\setminus(\bigcup_{t=1}^{2n+2}T_t)$. Similarly as Case 5, we have

$$\nu_{\Phi^\alpha_{ij}}(z) \geqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_u}(z)+\max\{0,-d_1\}+\max\{d_2,0\}+\max\{d_3,0\}-1$$
$$\geq -\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_u}(z)-1\geqslant -\sum_{u=1}^{3}\nu^{\infty}_{F^{ij}_u}(z)-\chi_{S_i}-\chi_{S_j}\geqslant P(z).$$

From the above six cases, we see that the inequality (4.11) holds. Hence the lemma is proved □

Proof of theorem 1.3. We note that, from the assumption it easy to verify that

- $\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\dfrac{1}{k_i}<\dfrac{1}{n}$ (similar as in the proof of Theorem 1.1)
- If $n\geqslant 3$ then $\dfrac{(n-2)(n+1)}{n(10n+1)}\leqslant\dfrac{n-1}{3(n+2)}\leqslant\dfrac{5n-6}{14n-3}\leqslant\dfrac{n-1}{2n}$,
- If $n=2$ then $\dfrac{1}{12}=\dfrac{n-1}{3(n+2)}\leqslant\dfrac{5n-6}{14n-3}\leqslant\dfrac{n-1}{2n}$.

Suppose that there exits three distinct maps $f^1,f^2,f^3\in\mathcal{F}(f,\{H_i,k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2},1)$. By Theorem 1.1, we have $f^1\wedge f^2\wedge f^3\equiv 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\underbrace{V_1\cong\cdots\cong V_{l_1}}_{\text{group 1}}\ncong\underbrace{V_{l_1+1}\cong\cdots\cong V_{l_2}}_{\text{group 2}}\ncong\underbrace{V_{l_2+1}\cong\cdots\cong V_{l_3}}_{\text{group 3}}\ncong\cdots\ncong\underbrace{V_{l_s+1}\cong\cdots\cong V_{l_{s+1}}}_{\text{group }s},$$

where $l_s=2n+2$.

Denote by P the set of all $i\in\{1,\ldots,2n+2\}$ satisfying there exist $j\in\{1,\ldots,2n+2\}\setminus\{i\}$ such that $V_i\ncong V_j$ and $\Phi^\alpha_{ij}\equiv 0$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^m_+$ with $|\alpha|\leqslant 1$. We consider the following three cases.

Case 1: $\sharp P\geqslant 2$. Then P contains two elements i,j. Then we have $\Phi^\alpha_{ij}=\Phi^\alpha_{ji}=0$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^m_+$ with $|\alpha|\leqslant 1$. By Lemma 4.3, there exist two functions, for instance they are F^{ij}_1 and F^2_{ij}, and a constant λ such that $F^{ij}_1=\lambda F^{ij}_2$. This yields that $F^{ij}_1=F^{ij}_2$ (by Lemma 4.1). Then by Lemma 4.4 (ii), we easily see that $V_i\cong V_j$, i.e., V_i and V_j belong to the same group in the above partition.

Without loss of generality, we may assum that $i=1$ and $j=2$. Since f^1,f^2,f^3 are supposed to be distinct, the number of each group in the above partition is less than $n+1$. Hence we have $V_1\cong V_2\ncong V_t$ for all $t\in\{n+1,\ldots,2n+2\}$. Then by Lemma 4.4 (ii), we

have

$$N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_1}(r)+N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)\geqslant\sum_{s\neq 1,t}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_s),\leqslant k_s}(r)-\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{s=1,t}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_s),>k_s}(r),$$

$$\text{and } N^{(1)}_{(f,H_2),\leqslant k_2}(r)+N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)\geqslant\sum_{s\neq 2,t}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_s),\leqslant k_s}(r)-\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{s=2,t}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_s),>k_s}(r).$$

Summing-up both sides of the above two inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}2N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)\geq\sum_{s\neq 1,2,t}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_s),\leqslant k_s}(r)-\sum_{u=1}^{3}(&N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_1),>k_1}(r)+N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_2),>k_2}(r)\\&+2N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_t),>k_t}(r)).\end{aligned}$$

After summing-up both sides of the above inequalities over all $t\in\{n+1,2n+2\}$, we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned}||\sum_{u=1}^{3}((n+2)(N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_1),>k_1}(r)+N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_2),>k_2}(r))+2\sum_{t=n+1}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_t),>k_t}(r))&\\\geqslant(n+2)\sum_{t=3}^{n}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)+n\sum_{t=n+1}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)&\\\geqslant n\sum_{t=3}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)\geqslant\frac{n}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=3}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f_u,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r)&\\\geqslant\frac{n}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=3}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f_u,H_t)}(r)-\frac{n}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=3}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_t),>k_t}(r)&\\\geqslant\frac{1}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=3}^{2n+2}N^{(n)}_{(f_u,H_t)}(r)-\frac{n}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=3}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_t),>k_t}(r)&\\\geqslant\frac{n-1}{3}T(r)-\frac{n}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=3}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_t),>k_t}(r)+o(T(r)).&\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n-1}{3}T(r)\leqslant(n+2)\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_t),>k_t}(r)\leqslant(n+2)\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_t)}(r)&\\\leqslant(n+2)\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1}T(r).&\end{aligned}$$

Letting $r\longrightarrow+\infty$, we get

$$\frac{n-1}{3(n+2)}\leqslant\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1}.$$

This is a contradiction.

Case 2: $\sharp P = 1$. We assume that $P = \{1\}$. We easily see that $V_1 \not\cong V_i$ for all $i = 2, \ldots, 2n+2$ (otherwise $i \in P$, this contradict to $\sharp P = 1$). Then by Lemma 4.4 (ii), we have

$$N^{(1)}_{(f,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(r) \geqslant \sum_{s\neq 1,i} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_s),\leqslant k_s}(r) - N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - 2\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{s=1,i} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_s),>k_s}(r) + o(T(r)).$$

Summing-up both sides of the above inequality over all $i = 2, \ldots, 2n+2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(r) \geq{}&(2n-1)\sum_{i=2}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - 2\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=2}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),>k_s}(r)\\ &- 2(2n+1)\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_1),>k_1}(r) + o(T(r)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

We also see that $i \notin P$ for all $2 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n+2$. We set

$$\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} i+n & \text{if } i \leqslant n+2,\\ i-n & \text{if } n+2 < i \leqslant 2n+2.\end{cases}$$

Then we easily see that i and $\sigma(i)$ belong to two distinct groups, i.e, $V_i \not\cong V_{\sigma(i)}$, for all $i \in \{2, \ldots, 2n+2\}$, and hence $\Phi^{\alpha}_{i\sigma(i)} \not\equiv 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^m_+$ with $|\alpha| \leqslant 1$. By Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}T(r) \geq{}&\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=i,\sigma(i)} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) - (2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - (n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_{\sigma(i)}),\leqslant k_{\sigma(i)}}(r)\\ &+ 2\sum_{\substack{t=1\\ t\neq i,\sigma(i)}}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) - \sum_{u=1}^{3}\left(\frac{2n+1}{3}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i}(r) + \frac{n+2}{3}N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_{\sigma(i)}),>k_{\sigma(i)}}\right)\\ &+ o(T(r)).\end{aligned}$$

Summing-up both sides of the above inequalities over all $i \in \{2, \ldots, 2n+2\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2n+1)T(r) &\geqslant 2\sum_{i=2}^{2n+2}\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + (n-4)\sum_{i=2}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) \\
&\quad + 2(2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_1),\leqslant k_1}(r) - (n+1)\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=2}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i} + o(T(r)) \\
&\geqslant 2\sum_{i=2}^{2n+2}\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \frac{5n-6}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=2}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) \\
&\quad - \frac{4n+2}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_1),>k_1}(r) - (n+1)\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i} + o(T(r)) + o(T(r)) \\
&\geqslant \frac{11n-6}{3n}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) \\
&\quad - \frac{4n+2}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_1),>k_1}(r) - (n+1)\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i} + o(T(r)) + o(T(r)) \\
&\geqslant \frac{11n-6}{3n}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=2}^{2n+2} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i)}(r) \\
&\quad - \frac{4n+2}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_1),>k_1}(r) - \frac{14n-3}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i} + o(T(r)) + o(T(r)) \\
&\geqslant \frac{11n-6}{3}T(r) - \frac{14n-3}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i+1}T(r) + o(T(r)).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \longrightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\frac{5n-6}{14n-3} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_i+1}.$$

This is a contradiction.

Case 3: $P = \emptyset$. Then for all $i \neq j$, by Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r) &\geqslant \sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} N^{(n)}_{(f^k,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(r) + 2\sum_{\substack{t=1\\ t\neq i,j}}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) \\
&\quad - (2n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - (n+1)N^{(1)}_{(f,H_j),\leqslant k_j}(r) + N(r,\nu_j) \\
&\quad - \sum_{u=1}^{3}\Big((1+\frac{n-1}{3})N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_j),>k_j}(r) + (1+\frac{2n-2}{3})N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i}(r)\Big) + o(T(r)).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing-up both sides of the above inequalities over all pairs (i,j) we get

$$\begin{aligned}(2n+2)T(r) \geqslant & 2\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) + (n-2)\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) + \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N(r,\nu_t)\\ & - (n+1)\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i} + o(T(r))\\ \geqslant & (2+\frac{n-2}{3n})\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_t),\leqslant k_t}(r) - (n+1)\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),>k_i}\\ & + \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N(r,\nu_t) + o(T(r))\\ \geqslant & (2+\frac{n-2}{3n})\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N^{(n)}_{(f^u,H_t)}(r) - \frac{10n+1}{3}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_t),>k_t}(r)\\ & + \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N(r,\nu_t) + o(T(r))\\ \geqslant & \frac{(7n-2)(n+1)}{3n}T(r) - \frac{10n+1}{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1}T(r) + \sum_{t=1}^{2n+2} N(r,\nu_t)\\ & + o(T(r)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

We now consider two cases where $n \geqslant 3$ and $n = 2$.

(a) If $n \geqslant 3$, from (4.13) we get

$$(2n+2)T(r) \geqslant \frac{(7n-2)(n+1)}{3n}T(r) - \frac{10n+1}{3}\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1}T(r) + o(T(r)).$$

Letting $r \longrightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1} \geqslant \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{n(10n+1)}. \tag{4.14}$$

The inequality (4.14) contradicts to the assumption.

(b) If $n = 2$, from (4.13) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} N(r,\nu_i) \leqslant 7\sum_{t=1}^{2n+2}\frac{1}{k_t+1}T(r) + o(T(r)). \tag{4.15}$$

We see that $V_i \ncong V_{i+3}$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3)$. By Lemma 4.2, we see that $V_i \not\sim V_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Hence, we have

$$P^{ij}_{st} :\overset{Def}{=} (f^s,H_i)(f^t,H_j) - (f^t,H_j)(f^s,H_i) \not\equiv 0 \ (s \neq t, i \neq j).$$

Claim 4.16. *With $i \neq j \neq l \neq i$, for every $z \in T_i$ we have*

$$\sum_{1\leqslant s<t\leqslant 3} \nu_{P^{jl}_{st}}(z) \geqslant 4\chi_{T_i}(z) - \chi_{\nu_i}(z).$$

Indeed, for $z \in T_i \setminus \nu_i$, we may assume that $\nu_{(f^1,H_i)}(z) < \nu_{(f^2,H_i)}(z) \leqslant \nu_{(f^3,H_i)}(z)$. Since $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge f^3 \equiv 0$, we have $\det(V_i, V_j, V_l) \equiv 0$, and hence

$$(f^1, H_i)P^{jl}_{23} = (f^2, H_i)P^{jl}_{13} - (f^3, H_i)P^{jl}_{12}.$$

This yields that

$$\nu_{P^{jl}_{23}}(z) \geqslant 2$$

and hence $\sum_{1\leqslant s<t\leqslant 3} \nu_{P^{jl}_{st}}(z) \geqslant 4 = 4\chi_{T_i}(z) - \chi_{\nu_i}(z)$.

Now, for $z \in \nu_i$, we have $\sum_{1\leqslant s<t\leqslant 3} \nu_{P^{jl}_{st}}(z) \geqslant 3 = 4\chi_{T_i}(z) - \chi_{\nu_i}(z)$. Hence, the claim is proved.

On the other hand, with $i = j$ or $i = l$, for every $z \in \{\nu_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) > 0\}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{P^{jl}_{st}}(z) \geq& \min\{\nu_{(f^s,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z), \nu_{(f^t,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)\}\\ \geq& \nu^{(2)}_{(f^s,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) + \nu^{(2)}_{(f^t,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) - 2\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z).\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and hence} \quad \sum_{1\leqslant s<t\leqslant 3} \nu_{P^{jl}_{st}}(z) \geqslant 2\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) - 6\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z).$$

Combining this inequality and the above claim, we have

$$\sum_{1\leqslant s<t\leqslant 3} \nu_{P^{jl}_{st}}(z) \geqslant 2\sum_{i=s,l}(\sum_{u=1}^{3} \nu^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) - 6\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z)) + \sum_{i\neq s,l}(4\nu^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(z) - \chi_{\nu_i}(z)).$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1\leqslant s<t\leqslant 3} N_{P^{jl}_{st}}(z) \geqslant& 2\sum_{i=s,l}(\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - 6N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r))\\ &+ \sum_{i\neq s,l}(4N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - N(r,\nu_i)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

On the other hand, be Jensen formula, we easily see that

$$N_{P^{jl}_{st}}(z) \leqslant T_{f^s}(r) + T_{f^t}(r) + o(T(r)) \ (1 \leqslant s < t \leqslant 3).$$

Then the inequality (4.17) implies that

$$2T(r) \geqslant 2\sum_{i=s,l}(\sum_{u=1}^{3} N^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - 6N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r)) + \sum_{i\neq s,l}(4N^{(1)}_{(f,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - N(r,\nu_i)).$$

Summing-up both sides of the above inequalities over all pair (j, l), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}2T(r) \geq& \frac{4}{6}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{6} N^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \frac{10}{3\times 6}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{6} N^{(1)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r)\\ &- \frac{2}{6}\sum_{i=1}^{6} N(r,\nu_i) + o(T(r)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
||\ 2T(r) \geqslant & \frac{4}{6}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{6} N^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) + \frac{5}{18}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{6} N^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) \\
& - \frac{14}{6}\sum_{i=1}^{6}\frac{1}{k_i+1}T(r) + o(T(r)) \\
= & \frac{17}{18}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{6} N^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),\leqslant k_i}(r) - \frac{14}{6}\sum_{i=1}^{6}\frac{1}{k_i+1}T(r) + o(T(r)) \\
\geq & \frac{17}{18}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{6} N^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i)}(r) - \frac{17}{18}\sum_{u=1}^{3}\sum_{i=1}^{6} N^{(2)}_{(f^u,H_i),> k_i}(r) \\
& - \frac{14}{6}\sum_{i=1}^{6}\frac{1}{k_i+1}T(r) + o(T(r)) \\
\geq & \frac{17}{18}(6-3)T(r) - \frac{34}{18}\sum_{i=1}^{6}\frac{1}{k_i+1}T(r) - \frac{14}{6}\sum_{i=1}^{6}\frac{1}{k_i+1}T(r) + o(T(r)).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \longrightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\frac{1}{k_i+1} \leqslant \frac{15}{76}.$$

This is a contradiction.

Hence the supposition is impossible. Therefore, $\sharp\mathcal{F}(f, \{H_i, k_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}, 1) \leqslant 2$. We complete the proof of the theorem. □

References

[1] Z. Chen and Q. Yan, *Uniqueness theorem of meromorphic mappings into* $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ *sharing* $2N+3$ *hyperplanes regardless of multiplicities*, Internat. J. Math., **20** (2009), 717-726.

[2] H. Fujimoto, *Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities in value distribution theory*, Nagoya Math. J., **152** (1998), 131-152.

[3] R. Nevanlinna, *Einige Eideutigkeitssätze in der Theorie der meromorphen Funktionen*, Acta. Math., **48** (1926), 367-391.

[4] J. Noguchi and T. Ochiai, *Introduction to Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables*, Trans. Math. Monogr. 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1990.

[5] S. D. Quang, *Unicity of meromorphic mappings sharing few hyperplanes*, Ann. Polon. Math., **102** No. 3 (2011), 255-270.

[6] S. D. Quang, *A finiteness theorem for meromorphic mappings sharing few hyperplanes*, Kodai Math. J., **102** No. 35 (2012), 463-484.

[7] L. Smiley, *Geometric conditions for unicity of holomorphic curves*, Contemp. Math. **25** (1983), 149-154.

[8] Q. Yan and Z. Chen, *Degeneracy theorem for meromorphic mappings with truncated multiplicity*, Acta Math. Scientia, **31B** (2011) 549-560.

Department of Mathematics, Hanoi National University of Education, 136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vienam.

E-mail address: quangsd@hnue.edu.vn